

Why should consumers have to bear the cost of copy protection that will ultimately be ineffective? What about all the people that already bought HDTV who now have to spend MORE to get full broadcast quality on HDTV if this system is approved? Make the industry pushing for these ill-conceived provisions reimburse the working-class people their decision will affect (early adopters of HDTV whose system will be obsoleted) for the cost of these hardware upgrades!

I have already spent a considerable amount of money on emerging HDTV technology and I shouldn't have to bear the cost of additional equipment or upgrades to help the FCC reach the HDTV saturation goal it set for the public in order to make the switch to digital TV. I already did my part.

And with the emerging Personal Video Recorders market, broadcasters should not be able to force me to watch television on their schedule. If a program is marked as "unrecordable" or "untransferrable", it would directly affect the functionality of these emerging devices that are the future of television viewing. Not all of America can sit down at 7pm to watch "prime time" television.